## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/549.981 UCHIDA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit N Edwards 1794 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) N Edwards. (3) (2) Daniel Altman (34,115). (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 27 February 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_ Claim(s) discussed: Claims of record. Identification of prior art discussed: Art of ecord... Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Primary Examiner called Applicant to discuss new prior art( due to amended claims and update saerch ) and amendments to place his case in a better condition for allowance before a Final action, but no agreement was reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ Primary Examiner Edwards/
AU 1794
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.